# AGENDA JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

**DATE: June 10, 2016** 

TIME: 1:30 p.m.

**LOCATION: Room 115 Wood County Courthouse** 

Call Meeting to Order

- 2. Citizen Comments. Note: Citizens wishing to comment on current agenda items may ask to be recognized at the time the item appears on the agenda. It would be courteous to advise the presiding officer of those intentions at this time. Rules may apply.
- 3. Consent Agenda
  - a. Review of minutes of previous meeting
  - b. Review and place on file monthly reports and vouchers of departments the committee oversees.
  - c. Minutes and/or report from sub-committees
- 4. Action and or discussion of any items removed from the consent agenda
- 5. Review any claims and notices of injury against the County, as necessary.
- 6. Review Casperson Estate litigation.
- 7. Review any Dog License Fund claims.
- 8. Presentation of correspondence and legislative issues or referrals and recognition of Legislators who may be present.
  - a. Resolution from Oconto County opposing AB 90 and SB 82, each of which address the criminal code of procedure Referred to Judicial & Legislative Committee and District Attorney Lambert.
  - b. Review Corporation Counsel memorandum entitled "Section 1983 Causes of Action."
  - c. Review Joint Resolution with HIRC regarding sustainable funding for Wisconsin roads.
- 9. Review of County Board Rules
  - a. Authority to include matters on committee agenda
    - i. Proposal by Mr. Leichtnam
    - ii. Proposal by Chairman Wagner
  - b. Communication obligations of staff and department heads to supervisors and county board chairman when serious or public issues arise. (Review of material to be presented by Corporate Counsel.)
- 10. Assignment of individual committee members as liaison to departments over which the committee has oversight.
- 11. Consideration of agenda items for next meeting.
- 12. Set date and time of next meeting.
- 13. Adjourn.



#### MINUTES OF THE JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

DATE: May 6, 2016

TIME: 9 a.m.

PLACE: Room 115, Wood County Courthouse

TIME ADJOURNED: 11:08 a.m.

Chairman Ed Wagner, Bill Clendenning, Bill MEMBERS PRESENT:

Leichtnam, Ken Curry, Dave LaFontaine

Peter Kastenholz, Cindy Joosten, Mrs. Wagner, Dennis Polach, Joe Zurfluh, Brent Vruwink OTHERS PRESENT:

At 9 a.m., Chairman Wagner called the meeting to order.

1. Chairman Wagner made a call for nominations for vicechairperson and for secretary.

Leichtnam nominated Clendenning to act as vice-chairperson. Moved by Wagner to close nominations and to cast a unanimous ballot for vice-chairperson. The Committee unanimously elected Clendenning vice-chairperson.

Wagner nominated Curry to act as secretary. Moved by Clendenning to close nominations and to cast a unanimous ballot for secretary. The Committee unanimously elected Curry secretary.

- 2. Public comments. None.
- Moved by Clendenning, seconded by Leichtnam, to approve the minutes of the April 1 and 19, 2016, Committee meetings. All 3. ayes.
- 4. The Committee reviewed department reports and monthly voucher reports:

Moved by Clendenning, seconded by LaFontaine, to approve the reports and payment of vouchers of Branch I, II, and III, Child Support, Clerk of Courts, Register of Deeds, Family Court Commissioner, Corporation Counsel, District Attorney, and Victim/Witness. All ayes.

The chair stated that the Committee will continue to have the departments report to specific Committee members to better enhance the communications between the Committee departments.

- 5. Discussion on department head authority under the pay plan. The department heads collectively have suggested granting to them the following authority within the current pay plan:
  - Allow departments to hire new employees at up to a step 6 if experience/education warrants that.
  - b. Allow departments to use vacation as a negotiating tool by allowing up to two weeks' vacation for new employees if their experience/education warrants that.
  - Allow departments flexibility to move current employees c. along the steps more quickly if they are stellar or outstanding employees (i.e., skip a step).

2

1

Cindy Joosten spoke in favor of the proposal and gave instances in the past where it would have been useful to have it in place. Brent Vruwink also spoke in favor of the proposal. Chairman Wagner discussed the problem in drawing and retaining skilled workers. LaFontaine spoke in favor of giving this authority to department heads.

The Committee supports the first two provisions with the understanding that the department heads can hire new employees up to and at step 6.

With respect to the third proposal, the Committee suggests limiting step increases to existing employees to one skipped step per year and the increase must be incorporated into the budget process in preparing for the subsequent year's budget.

All extraordinary actions under the pay plan must be reported to the oversight committee if pre-authorization is not needed for funding.

- 6. The Committee reviewed correspondence and legislative issues.
- 7. Water Protection Subcommittee report. The Committee discussed the need to continue the subcommittee and the membership on it. Moved by Leichtnam, seconded by Clendenning, to continue the current subcommittee with its existing membership until August 1, 2016. 4 ayes, 1 nay. Wagner voted no as he believes the subcommittee should report to the CEED committee.
- 8. County board rules. Quite a bit of discussion took place on whether two members of a committee should be able to get a topic on the agenda, if the majority should rule and generally what to do, if anything, about setting agendas. The Committee decided to contemplate the issue further and discuss it again next month.
- 9. There were two new notices of injury/claim filed with the County. The notice of injury/claim of Colleen Angel and Pam Loos/Estate of Eric Casperson were received by the Committee and will be forwarded to the county board.

# Moved by La Fontaine, seconded by Curry, to deny the claim by the Estate of Eric Casperson. All ayes.

- 10. There were no new animal claims against the County.
- 11. The next committee meeting will be June 3, 2016, at 9:30 a.m.
- 12. Agenda items for the June 3, 2016, meeting:
  - Authority to include matters on committee agendas.
  - Communication obligations of staff and department heads to supervisors and county board chairman when serious or public issues arise.

13. Moved by Clendenning, seconded by Curry, to adjourn. All ayes. Meeting adjourned at 11:08 a.m.

Minutes taken by Peter Kastenholz and approved by Ken Curry.

Ken Curry

Ken Curry, Secretary (signed electronically)

## A note to the Legislative and Judiciary Committee Concerning the Agenda May 30, 2016

#### Gentlemen:

The agenda may look a little different than you are accustomed to so I thought I would give a few notes of explanation.

I have tried to separate the agenda into items that are routine and those that are action items. I have tried to place the routine items in a consent agenda which can be handled with one, simple motion so we can move onto items that require debate, discussion and some kind of action by the committee as a whole. Most reports from Departments and Committees are contained in either written reports which are routinely included in the packet or the official minutes of any ad hoc committees.

Note that any item can be withdrawn from the consent agenda by any member of the committee. It does not require a motion. It does not require a vote. It simply requires a member to make a request to do so. There is a separate line item on the agenda to specifically discuss any items Most of the actions of the departments are routine and included in the written monthly reports of the departments. However, if there are specific items that the committee members feel should be brought before the committee either for action or for further information from the department head, they should bring them up from the consent agenda. Most of the time there is no need to have a department head sitting through two hours of meetings to tell us that their department is functioning as normal.

Requests from Department Heads will be given their own, specific line-item on the agenda.

Rules to be discussed will be listed in advance.

Correspondence or referrals will be listed in advance.

I hope these changes will result in more time for substantive debate and discussion on the pressing matters to be brought to the Board of Supervisors.

Thanks for your attention

Ed Wagner

Chair



# Wood County WISCONSIN

# CORPORATION COUNSEL OFFICE

Peter A. Kastenholz CORPORATION COUNSEL

## MONTHLY REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE June 2016

1. The Driver's Privacy and Protection Act (DPPA). The DPPA is a federal law that prohibits states and other governmental entities from sharing private information derived from Dept. of Motor Vehicle (DMV) records. The Federal 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals has provided that the DPPA must be complied with or else the offending governmental agency can be sued civilly and is then responsible for the legal fees of a person whose records were improperly released. Meanwhile, the Wisconsin paper media has been fighting the application of the DPPA in Wisconsin, contending among other things that the open records law constitutes an exception to the DPPA and that a function of law enforcement agencies is to share with the public certain personal information and, therefore, the accident reports and incident reports at issue are exempted from the protection of the DPPA. In that the public records law also has a provision giving the record requester a right to legal fees if they succeed against the government in getting a court to order the release of records, governmental entities find themselves in quite the bind.

A circuit court decision concluding that the DPPA does not prevent the release of DMV obtained personal information in Wisconsin was appealed to the Court of Appeals which requested the state Supreme Court to take the case up directly (a so-called bypass). The Supreme Court accepted the case but then split evenly on the decision resulting in the case going back to the Court of Appeals to decide. The Court of Appeals has now rendered a decision that will be published thereby making the decision case law applicable throughout the state. Unfortunately the Court of Appeals' decision remanded half of the case back to the circuit court to address an issue, thus continuing to leave the law enforcement agencies in Wisconsin with a lack of clarity in the law.

My office has and will continue to work with the Sheriff's Dept. in doing our best to comply with the state and federal rulings and await clarification via future appellate court case determinations. Meanwhile, the newspapers may publicize the appellate court decision and treat it as a victory against the government. Hardly the case but I felt some sort of update would be helpful for you to follow what is going on.

2. Eric Casperson Jail Suicide. Litigation has been commenced in federal district court and our insurance carrier has assigned counsel to represent the interests of the county and the individual employees named in the lawsuit. In these types of cases the corporation counsel at most serves as an intermediary or liaison with that counsel and county staff, which I have been doing. I have prepared a monthly memo that explains the primary basis for the alleged liability in this case, that being 42 USC Sec. 1983.

## **MEMORANDUM**

DRAFT

TO:

Wood County Board of Supervisors

FROM:

Peter A. Kastenholz, Corporation Counsel

DATE:

June 21, 2016

RE:

Section 1983 Causes of Action

Recently an inmate in the jail committed suicide and the family of the decedent has now commenced an action in federal court against the county and its employees to recover damages. One of the causes of action (in other words the basis for alleged liability) that the decedent's estate is relying upon is commonly referred to as a 1983 cause of action. You may have heard of this type of case and may be familiar with what it entails but for those who aren't this memo is intended to give an overview of what a 1983 case is and how it applies in the scenario of a jail suicide.

## I. INTRODUCTION AND HISTORY

The Ku Klux Klan Act of 1871, Ch. 22, §1, 17 Stat. 13 is the larger act of which 42 U.S.C. § 1983 is one part. "Section 1983" refers to 42 U.S.C. §1983, the federal statute that enables a person to file a civil action for deprivation of constitutional and federal statutory rights by persons acting under "color of law." Originally enacted in 1871, Section 1983 litigation experienced a period of dormancy, until 1961 and the landmark Supreme Court case, Monroe v. Pape, 365 U.S. 167 (1961), which gave private litigants a federal court remedy as a first resort rather than only in default of (or after) state action. Today, Section 1983 actions most commonly involve First Amendment issues like freedom of speech; Fourth Amendment issues like search and seizure or use of force; Eighth Amendment issues like cruel and unusual punishment; and Fourteenth Amendment claims of due process violations.

42 U.S.C. § 1983, commonly referred to as "section 1983" provides: "Every person who under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress...."

Wood County Board of Supervisors June 21, 2016 Page 2

## II. PRISON LITIGATION AND BURDEN OF PROOF

In the prison or jail setting, inmates' claims run the gamut of everything from complaints about food that is cold to claims about lack of proper medical attention to claims about inadequate access to the law library. With respect to claims about the conditions of confinement, including those for overcrowding and cold food, and claims about allegedly improper medical attention, the standard that is utilized is "deliberate indifference." This is true whether the claim is asserted under the Eighth Amendment (which applies to claims by convicted prisoners) or the Fourteenth Amendment (which applies to claims by pretrial detainees). Mr. Casperson, the decedent, was a pretrial detainee. The Supreme Court has made clear that even "gross negligence" is insufficient to support either an Eighth Amendment or a Fourteenth Amendment claim. The deliberate indifference standard also is applied in cases involving jail or prison suicides. The plaintiff, a representative of the deceased inmate's estate, must show that the jail official displayed "deliberate indifference" to the prisoner's taking of his own life.

A finding of deliberate indifference requires that officials have notice of the suicidal tendency of the individual whose rights are at issue in order to be held liable for the suicide of that individual. Popham, 908 F.2d at 1564 ("absent knowledge of a detainee's suicidal tendencies, the cases have consistently held that failure to prevent suicide has never been held to constitute deliberate indifference"). See also Haney v. City of Cumming, 69 F.3d 1098 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1995), cert. denied, 517 U.S. 1209 (1996); Schmelz v. Monroe County, 954 F.2d 1540, 1545 (11<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1992) ("[defendants] cannot be liable under § 1983 for the suicide of a prisoner 'who never had threatened or attempted suicide and who had never been considered a suicide risk'"). Furthermore, the deliberate indifference standard requires a strong likelihood rather than a mere possibility that self-infliction of harm will occur. The complaint in the Casperson case not surprisingly, contains numerous allegations that Casperson was at a higher risk of suicide and the county and its staff ignored this.

## III. OTHER ASPECTS OF A 1983 CASE

There is no requirement that the plaintiff sue in federal court because state courts have concurrent jurisdiction, and the usual rule of exhaustion of administrative and judicial state remedies is not a prerequisite to a section 1983 action. Also, the existence of concurrent state remedies is not a bar to a section 1983 action. With respect to the extent of damages available, the Supreme Court has noted that the basic purpose of a section 1983 damages award is to compensate the victims of official misconduct, and, therefore, held that there is no limit on actual damages if they can be proven. But where they are not proved, only nominal damages of \$1.00 may be awarded. Punitive damages may also be awarded, but not against a municipality. Injunctive relief is also permitted.

### IV. DEFENSES AND IMMUNITIES

States and state agencies are entitled to Eleventh Amendment immunity in federal court, but local governments have no immunity from damages flowing from their constitutional violations,

Wood County Board of Supervisors June 21, 2016 Page 3

and may not assert the good faith of its agents as a defense to liability. Further, state law sovereign immunity and state law limitations on damages do not protect local governments from liability under section 1983, and state laws requiring pre-suit notification prior to initiating an action against the state or its subdivisions similarly do not apply. Therefore, local governments are left in the unique and unhappy situation of being subject to suit without the benefit of any form of immunity.

In contrast to the distinct lack of immunity available to local governments, individual capacity defendants are protected by qualified immunity. Qualified immunity is a powerful tool that shields individual officials who are performing discretionary activities unless their conduct violates "clearly established statutory or constitutional rights of which a reasonable person would have known." A government official is entitled to qualified immunity unless his "act is so obviously wrong, in the light of preexisting law, that only a plainly incompetent officer or one who was knowingly violating the law would have done such a thing." The qualified immunity inquiry is purely objective—the subjective intentions of the actor are irrelevant. Qualified immunity is not only immunity from liability, but it is immunity from suit as well, and shields individual capacity defendants even where a constitutional violation may have occurred.

In the case of <u>Taylor</u>, et al. v. <u>Barkes</u>, et al., 135 S. Ct. 2042,575 U.S. \_\_\_\_\_, 192 L. Ed. 78 (2015), the United States Supreme Court held that there is no clearly established law that a pre-trial detainee or a sentenced person has a right to suicide screening by the detention facility. The court went on that without such an established right, the staff of the incarcerating facility cannot be violating a clearly established right of the decedent who died by suicide, ergo the incarcerating facility staff are entitled to qualified immunity and the case against them must be dismissed.

### V. ATTORNEY'S FEES

The Civil Rights Attorney's Fees Awards Act of 1976 provides that one who prevails in a section 1983 action is entitled to recover attorney's fees. There is little doubt that the addition of this attorney's fee provision fueled the growth in the number of section 1983 cases that have been filed because it has been held that prevailing plaintiffs are entitled to recover attorney's fees unless special circumstances would render such an award unjust, while a prevailing defendant may be awarded attorney's fees only "upon a finding that the plaintiff's action was frivolous, unreasonable, or without foundation, even though not brought in subjective bad faith."

## VI. CONCLUSION

The <u>Taylor</u> decision would very likely result in the dismissal of the entire 1983 cause of action against the county and its employees in that the basis for Casperson's 1983 cause of action is the failure of the county to address his propensity for suicidality, yet <u>Taylor</u> makes clear that a detainee has no right to a suicidal assessment. This then leaves the negligence action against the county, that being that the county failed to enforce its own rules prohibiting the hanging of blankets and sheets in the cells and this lead to the death of Casperson. The Wis. Stat. s. 893.80 \$50,000 governmental liability cap would likely apply to that cause of action.

County of Wood

Report of Claims for

Comp Counsel

For the range of vouchers:

09160011 09160017

Voucher	Vendor Name	Nature of Claim	Doc Date	Amount	Paid
09160011	AEGIS CORPORATION	notary bond fee	05/03/2016	25.00	P
09160012	LEAGUE OF WI MUNICIPALITIES	Muni Atty Institute	05/06/2016	340.00	P
09160013	KASTENHOLZ PETER A	mileage	06/01/2016	41.04	
D9160014	STATE BAR OF WISCONSIN	2017 Bar dues	06/01/2016	482.75	
09160015	STATE BAR OF WISCONSIN	Advising Older Clients	05/03/2016	198.17	
09160016	STATE BAR OF WISCONSIN	Employment Law	05/06/2016	198.17	
09160017	WEILAND LEGAL SERVICES	outside counsel	04/29/2016	160.00	
. YEST YA. FET 15 A YESTES A 44. A.A.A.A.A.			Grand Total:	\$1,445.13	i di sel Venet e e e inc

Committee Chair

Committee Member

Committee Member

Committee Member

Committee Member

Committee Member

Committee Member

	203	14 Budgeted	2	014 Actual	20	14 Projected		Overage/
		cpenditures		Revenue		Revenue		(Shortfall)
January	\$	32,120.90	\$	29,311.37	\$	32,666.63	\$	(3,355.26)
February	\$	32,120.92	\$	22,826.54	\$	32,666.67	\$	(9,840.13)
March	\$	32,120.92	\$	27,621.10	\$	32,666.67	\$	(5,045.57)
April	\$	32,120.92	\$	28,596.48	\$	32,666.67	\$	(4,070.19)
May	\$	32,120.92	\$	35,663.79	\$	32,666.67	\$	2,997.12
June	\$	32,120.92	\$	34,790.73	, \$	32,666.67	, \$	2,124.06
July	\$	32,120.92	\$	34,912.48	\$	32,666.67	\$	2,245.81
August	\$	32,120.92	\$	34,946.24	\$	32,666.67	\$	2,279.57
September	\$	32,120.92	\$	32,622.44	\$	32,666.67	\$	(44.23)
October	\$	32,120.92	\$	39,271.98	\$	32,666.67	\$	6,605.31
November	\$	32,120.92	\$	30,525.01	\$	32,666.67	\$	(2,141.66)
December	\$	32,120.92	\$	32,769.16	\$	32,666.67	\$	102.49
	·	,	·	•	•	,	•	
Total	Ş	385,451.02	\$	383,857.32	\$	392,000.00	\$	(8,142.68)
	201	15 Budgeted	2	015 Actual	20	15 Projected		Overage/
		penditures	_	Revenue	20	Revenue		(Shortfall)
January	\$	32,120.69	\$	43,273.46	\$	32,666.67	\$	10,606.79
February	\$	32,120.69	\$	25,929.50	\$	32,666.67	\$	(6,737.17)
March	\$	32,120.69	\$	30,183.28	\$	32,666.67	\$	(2,483.39)
	\$		\$	34,949.76	\$	32,666.67	ب \$	
April		32,120.69						2,283.09
May ·	\$	32,120.69	\$	36,920.87	\$	32,666.67	\$	4,254.20
June	\$	32,120.69	\$	38,756.94	\$	32,666.67	\$	6,090.27
July	\$	32,120.69	\$	42,490.09	\$	32,666.67	\$	9,823.42
August	\$	32,120.69	\$	45,717.82	\$	32,666.67	\$	13,051.15
September	\$	32,120.69	\$	37,858.13	\$	32,666.67	\$	5,191.46
October	\$	32,120.69	\$	38,513.86	\$	32,666.67	\$	5,847.19
November	\$	32,120.69	\$	31,556.27	\$	32,666.67	\$	(1,110.40)
December	\$	32,120.69	\$	36,821.29	\$	32,666.67	\$	4,154.62
T-4-1	,			.440.074.07		ć000 000 04		<b>450.074.00</b>
Total	Ş	385,448.28	\$	3442,971.27		\$392,000.04		\$50,971.23
	20:	16 Budgeted	2	016 Actual	20	16 Projected		Overage/
	Ex	penditures :		Revenue		Revenue		(Shortfall)
January	\$	32,831.67	\$	30,622.11	\$	32,837.00	\$	(2,214.89)
February	\$	32,831.67	\$	25,924.37	\$	32,833.00	\$	(6,908.63)
March	\$	32,831.67	\$	34,792.62	\$	32,833.00	\$	1,959.62
April	\$	32,831.67	\$	30,479.46	\$	32,833.00	\$	(2,353.54)
	\$		Ą	30,473.40	ڔ	32,033.00	ڊ ب	(2,333.34)
May	Ş	32,831.67					\$	-
June	\$	32,831.67					<b>&gt;</b>	-
July	\$	32,831.67					\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-
August	\$	32,831.67					Ş	-
September	\$	32,831.67					\$	-
October	\$	32,831.67					\$	-
November	\$	32,831.67					\$	-
December	\$	32,831.63					\$	••
Total	\$	393,980.00	\$	121,818.56		\$131,336.00		(\$9,517.44)

**Charge Payment Fund:** Payments received on outstanding charges.

**Escrow Payment Fund:** Customer money being held for future activity.

County Transfer Fee Fund: County retains 20% of all Transfer Fees collected.

<u>County VitalChek Fee Fund:</u> County retains \$10.00 from every person requesting a vital record online via Vitalchek.

<u>Laredo Remote:</u> County collects a fee from customers using Laredo software outside of the courthouse.

State DOA Fund: Signing of state budget bill 10/26/2007 increased birth certificates by \$8, marriage and death certificates by \$13.00, and the expedite fee by \$10.00. All monies to be mailed to the Department of Administration. The increase was enacted to come into compliance with recent federal laws. The monies will be used to automate outdated paper registration, archiving and copy issuance systems at the State and local vital records offices.

Reports Fund: County collects a fee (.50/page) from customers requesting reports.

Register of Deeds Fund: County retains all remaining recording fees (\$15.00 from each document recorded. \$5.00 from each first copy of every birth record sold. \$7.00 from each first copy of every death and marriage record sold. \$3.00 for each extra copy of vital records sold.)

<u>County Land Record Fund:</u> Effective June 25, 2010 statutes provide that \$8.00 is retained for the provision of land information on the internet and for Land Records modernization.

State Transfer Fund: State collects 80% of all Transfer Fees collected.

**State Birth Fund:** State collects \$7.00 of every birth record sold.

**State Land Record Fund:** State collects \$7.00 from each document recorded.

## WOOD COUNTY

Page I of l

FMXFUS01.RPT

Fund Transaction Summary Report by Account Number

Report Criteria: TndrDate >= Date(2016, 4, 1) And TndrDate <= Date(2016, 4, 30)

ccount Number	Fund Name	Total Fund Amount	Total Outstanding Charges	Total Fund due
1	CHARGE PAYMENT FUND	911.00	0.00	911.00
	Subtotal for -1:	911.00	0.00	911.00
2	ESCROW PAYMENT FUND	23,932.40	0.00	23,932.40
	Subtotal for -2:	23,932.40	0.00	23,932,40
1	COUNTY TRANSFER FEE FUND	6,631.86	0.00	6,631.86
	Subtotal for 11:	6,631.86	0.00	6,631.86
0	COUNTY VITALCHEK FEE FUND	160.00	0.00	160.00
	Subtotal for 20:	160.00	0.00	160.00
1	LAREDO REMOTE	3,169.10	0.00	3,169.10
	Subtotal for 21:	3,169.10	0.00	3,169.10
2	STATE DOA FUND	4,160.00	0.00	4,160.00
	Subtotal for 22:	4,160.00	0.00	4,160.00
)	REPORTS FUND	47.00	0.00	47.00
	Subtotal for 30:	47.00	0.00	47,00
	REGISTER OF DEEDS FUND	20,471.50	0.00	20,471.50
	Subtotal for 4:	20,471.50	0.00	20,471.50
	COUNTY LAND RECORD FUND	6,664.00	0.00	6,664.00
	Subtotal for 5:	6,664.00	0.00	6,664.00
	STATE TRANSFER FUND	26,527.44	0.00	26,527.44
	Subtotal for 6:	26,527.44	0.00	26,527.44
	STATE BIRTH FUND	1,771.00	0.00	1,771.00
	Subtotal for 7:	1,771.00	0.00	1,771.00
	STATE LAND RECORD FUND	5,831.00	0.00	5,831.00
	Subtotal for 9:	5,831.00	0.00	5,831.00
	Grand Total:	100,276.30	0.00	100,276.30

End of Report

### FMXFES01.RPT

## Fee Transaction Summary Report by Account Number

Page 1 of 1

Report Criteria: TndrDate >= Date(2016, 4, 1) And TndrDate <= Date(2016, 4, 30)

Account Numbe	r Fee Name	Count	Total Fee Amount	Total Outstanding Charges	Total Fee Due
-1	CHARGE PAYMENT FEE	5	911.00	0.00	911.00
	Subtotal for -1:	5	911.00	0,00	911.00
-2	ESCROW PAYMENT FEE	44	23,932.40	0,00	23,932.40
	Subtotal for -2;	44	23,932.40	0.00	23,932.40
13	PLAT FEE	1	50.00	0.00	50.00
	Subtotal for 13:	1	50.00	0.00	50.00
14 17.5	.  — BIRTH ADDL VITALS	107	525,00	0.00	525.00
253	<ul> <li>BIRTH ORIG VITALS</li> </ul>	216	5,060.00	0.00	5,060.00
761	DEATH ADDL VITALS	97	2,283.00	0.00	2,283.00
102	— DEATH ORIG VITALS	107	2,160.00	0.00	2,160.00
_	_ — MARRIAGE ADDL VITALS	28	156.00	0.00	156.00
4	L — MARRIAGE ORIG VITALS	44	880.00	0,00	880.00
	Subtotal for 14:	599	11,064,00	0.00	11,064.00
1,39	3 VITALCHEK FEE	16	320.00	0.00	320.00
	Subtotal for 20:	16	320,00	0.00	320.00
21	LAREDO REMOTE FEE	13	3,169.10	0.00	3,169.10
	Subtotal for 21:	13	3,169.10	0.00	3,169.10
24	CERTIFIED COPY FEE	6	32.00	0.00	32.00
	Subtotal for 24:	6	32.00	0.00	32.00
30	REPORTS FEE	4	47.00	0.00	47.00
	Subtotal for 30:	4	47.00	0.00	47.00
4	RECORDING FEES	883	24,990.00	0.00	24,990.00
	Subtotal for 4:	883	24,990.00	0.00	24,990.00
5	ABSTRACTOR COPY FEE	44	834.00	0.00	834.00
	COPY FEE	106	491.00	0.00	491.00
	LAREDO REMOTE COPY FEE	111	1,276.50	0.00	1,276.50
	Subtotal for 5:	261	2,601.50	0.00	2,601.50
8	TRANSFER FEE	120	33,159.30	0.00	33,159.30
	Subtotal for 8:	120	33,159.30	0.00	33,159.30
	Grand Total:	1,952	100,276.30	0.00	100,276.30

End of Report

5/31/2016 11:07:30 AM

County of Wood

REGISTER OF DEEDS

Report of Claims for

MAY 2016

For the range of vouchers:

24160007 24160008

	Vendo#Name = -	Nature of Claim	Dor Date Amount Pa
60007	FIDLAR TECHNOLOGIES	S INC APRIL LAREDO USAGE	05/11/2016 42.75
60008	GINTER SUSAN	WRDA CONFERENCE REGISTRATE	ON 05/03/2016 100.00
			Grand Total: \$142.75
Committee	ee Chair	Committee Member	Committee Member
Committe	ee Chair	Committee Member	Committee Member
Committe	ee Chair	Committee Member	Committee Member
	ee Chair tee Member	Committee Member	Committee Member  Committee Member



# Wood County Wisconsin

CHILD SUPPORT
AGENCY

### MONTHLY REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL AND LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE

### **JUNE 2016**

- All of the agency staff members attended training in the month of May. Over the next couple of months we will be meeting as a team to put together all the information that was learned at the trainings. We will then be putting the new strategies into action to further improve agency performance and efficiency.
- On May 11<sup>th</sup> agency staff, along with Clerk of Courts staff, participated in a training to learn how to begin e-filing court documents. We are working through issues as they arise but I have no doubt this is going to be a successful venture. Denise Willfahrt and Nicole Stelzer have taken the lead in the agency for implementation and have done an outstanding job with this project.
- The agency continues to partner with Human Services on the Children First Program. The level of collaboration with Human Services has increased and this has benefited the program and the customers that are being served.
- We are currently working with the North Central Regional FSET Program to increase collaboration so we can help non-custodial parents find employment.
- On May 26<sup>th</sup> staff spent the day presenting information on the Child Support Program to about 150 students at the Marshfield Middle School.
- The number of cases we bring to court has been declining. We have been reaching out to clients to resolve issues before court action is needed. The agency staff has really strived to build strong working relationships with the individuals we serve. We are confident that if we continue our dedication to exceptional customer service we will be able to keep more and more cases out of court.
- I plan to attend the Joint Legislative Committee meeting on June 13<sup>th</sup> in Mosinee.
- The April performance numbers are in and we continue to improve our performance compared to last year at this time.
- The current IV-D case count is 4,026

Report of Claims for

CHILD SUPPORT

For the range of vouchers:

02160039 02160054

Vordia	r Vendor Name	Nature of Claim	iDiorDake	t /Ameum): Badi
02160039	DESORCY EMILY	5/10/16-MTG-LUNCH REIMB.	05/23/2016	12.00
02160040	LOBNER SHANNON	5/16/16-MTG-LUNCH REIMB	05/23/2016	12.00
02160041	PIOTROWSKI PAMELA .	5/10-16-LUNCH REIMB.	05/26/2016	12.00
02160042	RINGER TIFFANY	5/10 & 5/16/16 LUNCH/MILEAGE	05/26/2016	146.36
02160043	STATZ KRIS	5/20/16-LUNCH REIMB.	05/26/2016	12.00
02160044	STELZER NICOLE	5/4-5/11/16-LUNCH REIMB.	05/26/2016	24.00
02160045	STOFLET VICKI	5/10-5/18/16-LUNCH/MILEAGE	05/26/2016	176.82
02160046	TERRILL JULIE	5/20/16-LUNCH/MILEAGE	05/26/2016	99,48
02160047	VOIGHT LISA	5/20/16-LUNCH REIMB	05/26/2016	12.00
02160048	VRUWINK BRENT	5/4-5/16/16-LUNCH/MILEAGE	05/26/2016	64.92
02160049	WILLFAHRT DENISE	5/4 & 5/18/16-LUNCH/MILEAGE	05/26/2016	141.72
02160050	CHARLES EVANS PROCESS SERVICE	6-PROCESS OF SERVICE FEES	05/26/2016	195.00
02160051	DNA DIAGNOSTICS CENTER	20-IND. GENETIC TESTS	05/26/2016	501.75
D2160052	EO JOHNSON COMPANY INC	FAX/COPIER SERVICE COSTS	05/26/2016	71.54
02160053	LEGAL LOGISTICS LLC	10-PROCESS OF SERVICE FEES	05/26/2016	725.00
02160054	RIVER CITY PROCESS SERVERS	16-PROCESS OF SERVICE FEES	05/26/2016	615.00
×			Grand Total:	\$2,821.59

Committee Chair

Committee Member

Committee Member

Committee Member

Committee Member

Committee Member



## CLERK OF COURT COLLECTED COUNTY REVENUES

FOR THE MONTH ENDING APRIL 2016

Which Dept. Receives	Account Title		Cı	ırrent Month		Previous		Difference
Revenue Clerk of Courts Clerk of Courts Clerk of Courts Human Services Clerk of Courts Human Services District Attorney District Attorney Victim Witness District Attorney Finance Department	County Forfeitures Occupational Lic Fee Due Co County Share State Fines Custody Study Fees Attorney Fees County OWI Surcharge District Attorney Service District Attorney 10% Victim Witness 10% District Attorney Witness Fees Sales Tax		***	Totals 7,995.04 12,000.46 3,449.14 4,318.10 - 530.52 530.51	M \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	10,932.11  13,602.95  2,602.06 5,381.98  679.77 679.76  \$0.00	***	(2,937.07) - (1,602.49) - 847.08 (1,063.88) - (149.25) (149.25)
Clerk's Fees Clerk of Courts Clerk of Courts Clerk of Courts Clerk of Courts	County Clerk of Courts Fees Bond Forfeitures Payment Plan Fees Muni Disposal Fees	\$ 11,187.76 \$ - \$ 1,290.00 \$ 60.00	\$	12,537.76	\$	16,947.10	\$	(4,409.34)
Branch I Sheriff's Dept. Sheriff's Dept. Sheriff's Dept. Sheriff's Dept. COC Div. Mediation COC Div. Mediation Clerk of Courts	Juvenile Ordinances Warrant Fees Jail Surcharge Blood Test Costs Extradition Costs Family Counseling Service Fees Family Counseling Reimbursement Interest (from A/C # 2299-851)		***	157.00 1,578.81 3,428.70 160.36 446.69 685.00 275.00 30.33	***	103.25 2,838.47 3,306.95 291.63 271.33 705.00 600.00 34.50	***	53.75 (1,259.66) 121.75 (131.27) (20.00) (325.00) (4.17)
	COUN	TY REVENUE	\$	48,123.42	\$	58,976.86	\$	(10,853.44)
	0700-24241 STATE			134,997.91 183,121.33	\$ \$	147,433.71 206,410.57	\$	(12,435.80) (23,289.24)
	MUNICIPAL PASS THROUGH TOTAL REVENUE	I REVENUES	\$_\$	900.12	\$	1,052.07 207,462.64	\$	(151.95) (23,441.19)

For the Judicial & Legislative Committee Meeting dated: June 3, 2016
Prepared by Cindy L. Joosten, Clerk of Circuit Court

## **ANNUAL REVENUE COMPARISON**

		2015			2016								
	Total	State	County	Muni		Total	State	County	Muni				
Jan	185,056	137,904	46,186	966	Jan	183,129	133,891	47,986	1,252				
Feb	212,110	145,842	64,444	1,824	Feb	237,880	170,094	66,446	1,340				
Mar	218,182	157,948	58,510	1,725	Mar	207,463	147,434	58,977	1,052				
Apr	176,643	128,785	47,243	615	Apr	184,021	134,998	48,123	900				
Мау	170,886	119,751	50,021	1,114	May	_							
Jun	212,081	158,911	51,618	1,552	Jun	_							
Jul	184,306	130,959	52,098	1,249	Jul	-							
Aug	199,572	148,155	49,695	1,722	Aug	-							
Sep	177,141	128,306	47,921	913	Sep	-							
Oct	202,833	141,084	60,824	925	Oct	- :							
Nov	165,941	117,627	47,244	1,070	Nov	L-4							
Dec	165,631	116,727	47,942	962	Dec	-		The energy Advances on a superior					
	2,270,382	1,631,998	623,746	14,638		812,493	586,417	221,532	4,544				
		201	5 YEAR TO DATE	REVENUE:		791,990	570,478	216,382	5,130				
			INCREASE	E (Decrease)		20,503	15,938	5,150	(586				

## **COLLECTION ACTIVITY SUMMARY FOR 2016**

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
Warrants Issued	75	112	40	54								
Suspensions Issued	90	28	1	66								
Payment Plans Created	82	73	54	89								
Receivables in Payment Plans	5832	5748	5679	5775								
Payment Plans Due	\$62,712	\$66,198	\$67,267	\$61,605	\$64,803							
# of Payment Plans PIF	74	135	71	72								
Fines worked off through Community Service	9	32	22	19	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							
\$ Worked off through Community Service	\$3,230	\$15,441	\$9,499	\$6,402								
Collection Agency Payments	\$35	\$6,776	\$1,253	\$340								
Electronic Payments	\$42,902	\$27,753	\$44,900	\$28,411								

# Wood County Circuit Court Active Non-Escrow Receivables Audit Summary (DOC/Other Collects Included) For Month Ending 04-30-2016 Final

Account	0-1 Month	1-2 Months	2-3 Months	3-6 Months	6-12 Months	1-2 Years	2-3 Years	3-4 Years	4-5 Years	Over 5 Years	Total
Fees	23655.25	17708.16	19464.79	57747.03	75666.11	111866.88	46402.57	29258.94	56347.62	197085.13	635202.48
Traffic	41953.24	19475.73	24895.83	49952.20	77103.06	80590.10	96285.07	34159.07	32267.05	161794.73	618476.08
Criminal	70700.53	64380.59	75368.89	156347.58	324546.94	450974.55	291132.70	216243.40	196345.27	435425.89	2281466.34
Restitution	24088.72	3104.69	4774.52	14218.17	44355.65	72007.23	51801.88	177912.22	53840.35	109047.12	555150.55
TOTAL	\$ 160,397.74	\$ 104,669.17	\$ 124,504.03	\$ 278,264.98	\$ 521,671.76	\$ 715,438.76	\$ 485,622.22	\$ 457,573.63	\$ 338,800.29	\$ 903,352.87	\$ 4,090,295.45

Wood County Circuit Court

Active Non-Escrow Receivables Audit Summary (DOC/Other Collects Omitted)

For Month Ending 04-30-2016

Final

05-10-2016 10:04 am

Account	0-1 Month	1-2 Months	2-3 Months	3-6 Months	6-12 Months	1-2 Years	2-3 Years	3-4 Years	4-5 Years	Over 5 Years	Total
Fees	22211.00	16010.76	19065.19	54861.85	73826.05	95750.76	41471.56	18012.68	26372.20	136593.63	504175.68
Traffic	41953.24	19475.73	24895.83	49952.20	77103.06	80590.10	96285.07	33343.57	32267.05	161794.73	617660.58
Criminal	43668.13	47739.09	53315.39	117142.21	232696.11	308979.28	180144.00	128630.78	119835.53	297783.28	1529933.80
Restitution	2011.53	342.87	2054.43	7877.06	11174.49	36478.48	8207.94	5431.40	20913.74	47603.03	142094.97
TOTAL	\$ 109,843.90	\$ 83,568.45	\$ 99,330.84	\$ 229,833.32	\$ 394,799.71	\$ 521,798.62	\$ 326,108.57	\$ 185,418.43	\$ 199,388.52	\$ 643,774.67	\$ 2,793,865.03

## **COUNTY OF WOOD**

## REPORT OF CLAIMS FOR CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT - MAY 2016

For the range of vouchers: 07161169 to 07161474

Voucher	Vendor Name	Nature of Claim	Doc Date	Amount	Paid
	to 07161178 JUROR EXPENSE	JUROR EXPENSE - APRIL 2016	04/18/2016	5451.32	Р
07161379	ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 16ME10	04/19/2016	540.00	Р
07161380	ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 16ME38	04/05/2016	390.00	Р
07161381	ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 16ME25	04/06/2016	540.00	Р
07161382	ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 16JM42	04/14/2016	445.00	P
07161383	ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 16ME41	04/14/2016	390.00	P
07161384	ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 16ME40	04/13/2016	540.00	P
07161385	ARENDT PATRICK ATTY	Atty Fee - 16JC18	04/25/2016	483.00	P
07161386	ARENDT PATRICK ATTY	Atty Fee - 12JC27	04/25/2016	798.00	Р
07161387	ARENDT PATRICK ATTY	Atty Fee - 15CM465	04/05/2016	734.23	Р
07161388	ARENDT SEDONA	Wtiness Fee - 15CF339	04/19/2016	35.80	Р
07161389	COATES JOHN T MD	Med Exam - 16ME10	04/19/2016	595.00	Р
07161390	COATES JOHN T MD	Med Exam - 16ME38	04/06/2016	595.00	Р
07161391	COATES JOHN T MD	Med Exam - 16ME25	04/06/2016	595,00	Р
07161392	COATES JOHN T MD	Med Exam - 16GN20	04/13/2016	650.00	Р
07161393	COATES JOHN T MD	Med Exam - 16ME40	04/13/2016	520.00	Р
07161394	COATES JOHN T MD	Med Exam - 16ME41	04/15/2016	520.00	P
07161395	COATES AMBER	Witness Fee - 15CF339	04/19/2016	53.60	P
07161396	COATES CASSIDY	Witness Fee - 15CF339	04/19/2016	37.60	P
07161397	DAVE MD JAGDISH S	Med Exam - 16JM44	04/21/2016	600.00	P
07161398	DERANIK CATHERINE	Witness Fee - 16CF83	04/20/2016	16.00	Р
07161399	DEROCHE DANIEL	Witness Fee - 15CF339	04/19/2016	34.80	Р
07161400	GALLI MICHAEL PHD	Med Exam - 15ME190	04/17/2016	145.00	Р
07161401	GOLDIN & LENNON LLC	Atty Fee - 15CF190	04/13/2016	1302.00	Р
07161402	GORSKI & WITTMAN ATTYS AT LAW	Atty Fee - 16GN21	04/23/2016	286.56	Р
07161403	GORSKI & WITTMAN ATTYS AT LAW	Atty Fee - 16GN08	04/05/2016	286.56	Р
07161404	HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 15JC41	04/12/2016	1522.50	Р
07161405	HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 16JG02 & 03	04/05/2016	360.50	Р
07161406	HOUSWORTH MARIA	Witness Fee - 15CF339	04/19/2016	42.00	Р
07161407	HILL KAY M	Witness Fee - 15CF339	04/19/2016	36.40	Р
07161408	KRUSE JOHN ADAM ATTY	FCC Services for April 2016	04/29/2016	11161.99	Р
07161409	MUSUNURU J R MD	Med Exam - 16JM42	04/08/2016	420.00	Р
07161410	NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - 15TP22	04/26/2016	70.00	₽
07161411	NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - Decorah et al	04/21/2016	3038.34	P
07161412	NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - 14JC19	04/19/2016	1001.00	P
07161413	HOUSWORTH CRISTIAN	Witness Fee - 15CF339	04/19/2016	32.00	P
07161414	OTERO IRMA	Witness Fee - 15CF339	04/19/2016	42.00	Р
07161415	SEEVERS RACHEL	Witness Fee - 15CF339	04/20/2016	17.80	P
07161416	SERSCH THERESE	Med Exam - 16GN02	04/14/2016	332.94	Р
07161417	SMITH CHARLENE	Mileage - Eau Claire seminar	04/11/2016	21.99	Р
07161418	WEILAND LEGAL SERVICES	Atty Fee - 15GN48	04/21/2016	182.00	Р
	WEILAND LEGAL SERVICES	Atty Fee - 15CM746	04/19/2016	434.00	Р
07161420	GORSKI & WITTMAN ATTYS AT LAW	Atty Fee - 16GN02	04/23/2016	442.56	Р
07161421	GORSKI & WITTMAN ATTYS AT LAW	Atty Fee - 16GN20	04/23/2016	286.56	Р
07161422	HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 15JC31 & 32	04/26/2016	850.50	Р
07161423	HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 16JC12	04/26/2016	504.00	Р
	EVENSON-KREUSER JACALYN	Mileage for Regional Training	04/27/2016	101,52	P
	JOOSTEN CINDY	Jury Coffee	05/05/2016	10.48	P
	YANG POAU K	Mileage for Regional Training	04/27/2016	85.32	P
	ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 16ME33	04/28/2016	540.00	Р
07161428	ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - <b><u>2</u>6</b> JM44	04/26/2016	640.00	Р

## **COUNTY OF WOOD**

## REPORT OF CLAIMS FOR CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT - MAY 2016

For the range of vouchers:	07161169 to 07161474
----------------------------	----------------------

07161429 ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 16GN30	05/05/2016	500.00	Р
07161430 ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 16ME20	05/04/2016	540.00	Р
07161431 ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 12ME189	05/03/2016	440.00	Р
07161432 ANCHOR POINT THERAPY AND EVALUATION	Med Exam - 16GN33	05/05/2016	500.00	Р
07161433 ARENDT PATRICK ATTY	Atty Fee - 16JC21	05/02/2016	462,00	Р
07161434 COATES JOHN T MD	Med Exam - 16ME33	04/29/2016	595.00	Р
07161435 COATES JOHN T MD	Med Exam - 16ME20	05/04/2016	595.00	Р
07161436 COATES JOHN T MD	Med Exam - 96GN16	05/11/2016	650.00	Р
07161437 DAVE MD JAGDISH S	Med Exam - 15ME52	05/08/2016	1200.00	P
07161438 FLEXSTAFF	Contracted Clerical Services	04/13/2016	1064.40	Р
07161439 FLEXSTAFF	Contracted Clerical Services	04/20/2016	859.05	P
07161440 FLEXSTAFF	Contracted Clerical Services	04/27/2016	1078.09	P
07161441 FLEXSTAFF	Contracted Clerical Services	05/04/2016	1078.09	Р
07161442 GEBERT LAW OFFICE	Atty Fee - 15CF465	04/26/2016	224.91	' P
07161443 GEBERT LAW OFFICE	Atty Fee - 16TP12	05/04/2016	112.00	P
07161444 GEBERT LAW OFFICE	•	* *	42.00	r P
	Atty Fee - 16GN06	04/29/2016		
07161445 GORSKI KENNETH	FCC Services - April 2016	05/04/2016	1344.48	P
07161446 HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 16JG04	04/26/2016	315.00	Р
07161447 HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 16JC19	04/26/2016	385.00	P
07161448 HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 15JC94 - 15JC98	04/26/2016	1295.00	P
07161449 MUSUNURU J R MD	Med Exam - 16JM47	04/26/2016	560.00	P
07161450 NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - 15JC60	05/02/2016	266.00	Р
07161451 NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - 15CF190	04/28/2016	604.81	P
07161452 NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - 12JC19	05/04/2016	70.00	₽
07161453 NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - 15JC104	05/09/2016	140.00	P
07161454 MAILFINANCE	Lease Payment Mar-Jun 2016	05/05/2016	409.98	P
07161455 WEILAND LEGAL SERVICES	Atty Fee - 15GN06	05/02/2016	84.00	P
07161456 CHITEK BEN	Mlg for COC mtg lunch and supp	05/06/2016	38.88	Р
07161457 WOOD COUNTY CLERK OF COURTS	Depst Tckts for Wood Trt Bank	05/16/2016	114.45	Р
07161458 BEHSELICH ASHLEY	Witness Fees	04/29/2016	30.00	Р
07161459 LA CHAPELLE KRYSHAK & NETTESHEIM LLP	Atty Fee - 11GN48	04/26/2016	70.00	Р
07161460 DOMINO'S PIZZA	Jury Meal - 15CF339	04/10/2016	59.45	Р
07161461 DAVE INDU J MD	Med Exam - 16ME53	05/13/2016	1200.00	Р
07161462 ELORANTA LAW OFFICE	Mediation Srvcs - April 2016	05/10/2016	650.00	Р
07161463 GEBERT LAW OFFICE	Mediation Srvcs - April 2016	05/10/2016	200.00	Р
07161464 HAESSLY CASSANDRA	Witness Fee - 16CF133	05/02/2016	16.60	Р
07161465 HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 11GN70	05/04/2016	283.50	Р
07161466 HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 09GN95	05/04/2016	2 <del>4</del> 1.50	Р
07161467 HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 12GN18	05/05/2016	332.50	Р
07161468 HILL & WALCZAK ATTYS	Atty Fee - 12GN34	05/05/2016	280.00	P
07161469 NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - 09GN26	05/09/2016	105.00	P
07161470 NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - 10GN12	05/09/2016	129.50	P
07161471 NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - 14JC19	05/11/2016	56.00	P
07161472 NASH LAW GROUP	Atty Fee - 15JC75	05/11/2016	133.00	P
07161472 NASH LAW GROUP 07161473 WEILAND LEGAL SERVICES				P
07161473 WEILAND LEGAL SERVICES 07161474 WEST PAYMENT CENTER	Atty Fee - 96GN16	05/12/2016	203.00 1803.84	
 O/ TOTAL ANTOL LANGE AND ANTOLOGICAL AND ANTOLOGICAL AND ANTOLOGICAL AND ANTOLOGICAL AND ANTOLOGICAL AND	LL Interent Access April 2016	05/01/2016	k now or a common decreases a life would become a recommence greater	P
 } TO TO SEA IN TO TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE		Grand Total:	\$60,122.90	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

## COUNTY OF WOOD

## REPORT OF CLAIMS FOR CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT - MAY 2016

For the range of vouchers: 07161169 to 07161474

Committee Member	Committee Member	Committee Member	
Committee Chair	Committee Member	Committee Member	

6/1	/201	IR 1	1:32:05	PΝ

County of Wood

3B

Report of Claims for

DA

For the range of vouchers:

11160005 11160005

Voucher Vendor Name 11160005 UNIVERSITY OF WIS ME	detail and the first of the second	ire of Claim ss Fee		<b>Doc Date</b> 04/21/2016 Total:	Amount 521.28 \$521.28	P
Committee Chair	Committee Meml	per .	Committee Mem	ber		
Committee Member	Committee Mem	per	Committee Mem	nber		

## VICTIM WITNESS SERVICES REPORT APRIL 25 2016 TO MAY 31 2016

Contact made with 131 Victims and Witnesses

Met with 33 victims or witnesses in person

Initial Contact Packets sent on 134 new cases

Disposition Information sent on 87 closed cases

No Prosecution information provided on 25 cases

Restitution determined on 23 new files

Assisted 19 people with Victim Impact Statements

Provided Appellate information on 3 cases

Notified Victims of Sentencing after Revocation on 33 cases

Signed up 3 people for witness fees and assisted with one trial

Participated in the Criminal Justice Task Force Meeting on May 3<sup>rd</sup>

Cheun

Attended the Office of Crime Victim Services Regional Meeting in Antigo on May 6<sup>th</sup>

Conducted a Telephonic Crime Victim Rights Board Meeting on May 26<sup>th</sup>

Prepared and participated in 3 Restitution Hearings

Restitution in the amounts of \$71,141.51 ordered in this time period of which \$862.83 awarded to local businesses, \$13629. 37 awarded to local citizens, \$3734.73 to the Crime Victim Compensation Fund, and \$55,969.76 to an insurance company for medical expenses.

Respectfully Submitted,

Trisha L Anderson

Report of Claims for

Victim Witness

For the range of vouchers:

32160005 32160006

)) (e   (e )	· Vendor Name	Nature of Claim	Doc Date	Amount	
50005	ANDERSON PATRICIA L	Jan to May expenses	05/11/2016	186.33	P
60006	ANDERSON PATRICIA L	Regional Meeting exp	05/11/2016	91.63	P
III See See See See See See See See See	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	THE STATE OF THE S	Grand Total:	\$277.96	
			en e	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Commi	ttee Chair	Committee Member	Committee Member		
Commi	ittee Member	Committee Member	Committee Member		

County of Wood

**BRANCH 2** 

1

Report of Claims for

MAY 2016

For the range of vouchers:

04160012 04160016

Wantahia	Vendor Name	Nature of Claim	Piele Priez	Planellist Attite
04160012	SWITS LTD	INTERPRETER SERVICE 04/16	05/18/2016	150.00
)4160013	PETERSON MICHELLE L	TRANSCRIPT FEES	05/24/2016	36.00
)4160014	ZAMOW DENISE	TRANSCRIPT FEES 14CF266	04/28/2016	30.00
)4160015	ZAMOW DENISE	TRANSCRIPT FEES 13CF423	04/28/2016	28.00
04160016	ZAMOW DENISE	TRANSCRIPT FEES 14CF402,419	05/12/2016	84.00
		Gra	and Total:	\$328.00

Committee Member Committee Member Committee Chair

Committee Member Committee Member Committee Member

6/1/2016 12:23:57 PM

County of Wood

BRANCH 3

1

Report of Claims for

MAY 2016

For the range of vouchers:

05160020 05160025

. Vereinistals	Vendor Name	Nature of Claim	Bod Pate	Pita Collists	316
05160020	REDWOOD BIOTECH	DRUG TESTING	03/31/2016	53.50	Р
05160021	SIEMENS HEALTHCARE DIAGNOSTICS	DRUG TEST SUPPLIES	04/20/2016	4732.74	Р
)5160022	STATE BAR OF WISCONSIN	CRIMINAL & JUVENILE BENCHBOOKS	04/18/2016	270.52	
)5160023	SWITS LTD	INTERPRETER FEES	04/20/2016	187.50	
)5160024	SWITS LTD	INTERPRETER FEES	04/25/2016	467.50	
05160025	SWITS LTD	INTERPRETER FEES	05/18/2016	170.00	
			Grand Total: \$	5,881.76	:

Committee Chair

Committee Member

Committee Member

Committee Member

Committee Member

Committee Member

#### RECEIVED

MAY 1 2 2016

# Family Court Commissioner Activity Report to Claims and Judiciary Committee CORP. COUNSEL (4/1/16 to 4/31/16)

### I. Administrative and Procedural Matters:

I have continued to meet with the judges to obtain their advice.

## II. Time Associated with Hearings:

April 7, 2016

3 Injunctions

2 Hearing

(4.8 hours, of which 0.0 hrs. pertained to the Wood County Child Support Agency)

April 8, 2016

1 Hearing

(2.5 hours, of which 0.0 hrs. pertained to the Wood County Child Support Agency)

April 12, 2016

3 Hearings

2 Restitution hearings

(5.3 hours, of which 0.0 hrs. pertained to the Wood County Child Support Agency)

April 21, 2016

3 Hearings

**Child Support Modification Hearings** 

(4.5 hours, of which 2.0 hrs. pertained to the Wood County Child Support Agency)

April 28 2016

1 Hearing

3 Restitution hearings

3 Injunctions

(5.0 hours, of which 0.0 hrs. pertained to the Wood County Child Support Agency)

Total Hearing Time was 22.1 hrs. of which 2.0 pertained to the Wood County Child Support Agency

- III. Total Time Associated with Mediation Orders and Dismissals was 27.2 hours.
- IV. Total Time Associated with Providing Telephone Advice regarding Custody Procedures and Child Support was 7.4 hours of which 1.6 pertained to the Wood County Child Support Agency
- V. Total Time for Procedural Matters was 23.0 hours of which 1.0 pertained to Wood County Child Support Agency.

TOTAL TIME (April 1 through April 30) WAS 79.70 HOURS, OF WHICH 4.6 HOURS PERTAINED TO THE WOOD COUNTY CHILD SUPPORT AGENCY

Submitted this 10th day of May 2016

John Adam Kruse,

Wood County Family Court Commissioner

6

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

PAM LOOS, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of ERIC CASPERSON, Deceased,	· ) ) ) )
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) Case No
SHERIFF THOMAS REICHERT, in his official capacity, CAPTAIN THEODRE ASHBECK, JR., in his official capacity, LIEUTENANT MICAHEL MALOOLY, in his official capacity, LIEUTENANT SUSANNA KNAPP, in her official capacity, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER AMANDA MILLER, in her individual capacity, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER TERRY JOHNSON, in his individual capacity, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER LAURA GRODE, in her individual capacity, UNKNOWN CORRECTIONAL OFFICER, and WOOD COUNTY,	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
Defendants.	)

## COMPLAINT AT LAW

Now Comes Plaintiff, PAM LOOS, Individually and as the Representative of the Estate of ERIC CASPERSON, Deceased, through her undersigned counsel, and complaining against Defendants, SHERIFF THOMAS REICHERT, in his official capacity, CAPTAIN THEODRE ASHBECK, JR., in his official capacity, LIEUTENANT MICAHEL MALOOLY, in his official capacity, LIEUTENANT SUSANNA KNAPP, in her official capacity, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER AMANDA

MILLER, in her individual capacity, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER TERRY JOHNSON, in his individual capacity, CORRECTIONAL OFFICER LAURA GRODE, in her individual capacity, UNKNOWN CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS, in their individual capacities, and WOOD COUNTY, states as follows:

## INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action against Defendants seeking redress for the violation of rights secured to Eric Casperson, Deceased, by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff further alleges state-law causes of action based on negligence and wrongful death, for which she personally is entitled to damages.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Jurisdiction of this Court is provided by 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1343. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because, on information and belief, Plaintiff and all Defendants reside in this District and all events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

### **PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff, Pam Loos, is a citizen of the United States who currently resides in Wisconsin. Plaintiff is the mother of the deceased, Eric Casperson ("Eric"). She sues on her own behalf, and as Representative of the Estate of Eric Casperson.

- 5. On information and belief, Defendant Sheriff Thomas Reichert ("Defendant Sheriff Reichert") has final decision-making authority over the operation of the Wood County Jail. At all relevant times, Defendant Sheriff Reichert served in the elected position of Sheriff of Wood County, Wisconsin. Defendant Sheriff Reichert is sued in his official capacity.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant Captain Theodore Ashbeck, Jr. ("Defendant Captain Ashbeck") is the administrator of the Wood County Jail. At all relevant times, Defendant Captain Ashbeck acted pursuant to his employment as the jail administrator. Defendant Captain Ashbeck is sued in his official capacity.
- 7. On information and belief, Defendant Lieutenant Michael Malooly ("Defendant Lieutenant Malooly") is the assistant administrator of the Wood County Jail. At all relevant times, Defendant Lieutenant Malooly acted pursuant to his employment as the assistant jail administrator. Defendant Lieutenant Malooly is sued in his official capacity.
- 8. On information and belief, Defendant Lieutenant Susanna Knapp ("Defendant Lieutenant Knapp") is the Wood County Jail Lieutenant. At all relevant times, Defendant Lieutenant Knapp acted pursuant to her employment as the jail lieutenant. Defendant Lieutenant Knapp is sued in her official capacity.
- 9. Defendant Correctional Officers Amanda Miller, Terry Johnson, and Laura Grode were at all relevant times Correctional Officers at the Wood County Jail acting within the course and scope of their employment. Defendant Officers Miller, Johnson, and Grode are all sued in their individual capacity.

- 10. Defendant Unknown Correctional Officers were at all relevant times Correctional Officers at the Wood County Jail acting within the course and scope of their employment. Defendant Unknown Correctional Officers are all sued in their individual capacity.
- 11. At all relevant times, all Defendants were acting under color of state law.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. On or about February 27, 2016, Eric was arrested by the Marshfield Police Department and charged with various drug possession offenses.
  - 13. Eric was transported to and detained at the Wood County Jail.
- 14. During his initial intake medical screening by Defendant Unknown Correctional Officers, Eric reported using heroin daily for the past couple of years, and that he had last used heroin the day prior.
- 15. Heroin users have a significantly higher risk of suicide than the non-drug using public.
- 16. During his initial intake medical screening Eric also reported having a prior psychiatric hospitalization at the Wausau Crisis Center in 2013.
- 17. On information and belief, Defendant Unknown Correctional Officers failed to follow up on this information. Had Defendant Unknown Correctional Officers performed an adequate screening of Eric, they would have learned that Eric was previously diagnosed as suffering from bipolar disorder.

- 18. As do heroin users, individuals suffering from bipolar disorder are at a significantly higher risk for suicide than the general population.
- 19. Despite indications that Eric was at a significantly elevated risk for suicide, Defendant Unknown Correctional Officers did not: place him on suicide watch, order increased monitoring of Eric's person, designate him as a suicide risk, or otherwise inform other correctional officers at the jail that Eric was at a higher risk for suicide.
- 20. Defendant Unknown Correctional Officer also failed to provide Eric with medical care and treatment for heroin withdrawal and/or bipolar disorder.
- 21. On Friday, March 4, 2016, Eric was housed in B-block of the Wood County Jail.
- 22. At approximately 12:15 a.m., Eric notified Defendant Officer Miller that he needed to speak to her outside of his cell.
- 23. Eric told Defendant Officer Miller that he needed to speak to police about his case, and that he knew where someone was located that police were trying to find.
- 24. Defendant Officer Miller called the Marshfield Police Department, and was told that nobody could speak to Eric until Monday.
- 25. Defendant Officer Miller told Eric that an officer would not be available to speak with him until Monday.
  - 26. Eric told Defendant Officer Miller that he could not wait until Monday.

- 27. A short time later, Eric again spoke with Defendant Officer Grode about speaking with an investigator from the Marshfield Police Department.
- 28. Defendant Officer Grode again told Eric that nobody was available to speak with him until the following Monday.
- 29. Eric told Defendant Officer Grode that by Monday "it would be too late."
- 30. Defendant Officer Grode did not ask Eric what he meant when he told her that by Monday "it would be too late."
- 31. At approximately 1:40 a.m., Eric tied a sheet horizontally across the opening to his cell. He repeatedly tested the tautness of the sheet and tightened it.
- 32. At approximately 1:42 a.m., Defendant Officer Miller conducted an outside cell check during which she observed the sheet Eric had tied across the opening to his cell.
- 33. At that time, there was a widespread practice within the Wood County Jail of allowing inmates to hang items, including sheets, from cell bars, despite the fact that such practice poses a substantial risk of harm to the health and safety of the inmates.
- 34. Consistent with that practice, Defendant Officer Miller did not compel Eric to remove the sheet from which he would later hang himself.
- 35. At that time, Defendant Officer Miller did not report Eric's behavior to any other officer, despite obvious indications that he was about to attempt suicide.

- 36. At that time, Defendant Officer Miller did not ask Eric about his mental state, despite obvious indications that he was about to attempt suicide.
- 37. Defendant Officer Miller did not conduct any further check of Eric until approximately 2:23 a.m., despite obvious indications that he was about to attempt suicide.
- 38. At approximately 1:51 a.m., Eric hung a towel from the sheet hung across his cell door, forming a loop.
- 39. At approximately 1:52 a.m., Eric put his head in the opening formed by the towel, dropped to the ground, and hung himself.
  - 40. Eric struggled for approximately four minutes before going limp.
  - 41. For approximately 30 minutes, Eric hung by the neck at his cell door.
- 42. Eric's actions were seen on real-time, closed circuit video surveillance being monitored by Defendant Officer Johnson.
- 43. Defendant Officer Johnson did not enforce jail policy prohibiting items from being tied to cell bars.
- 44. Defendant Officer Johnson did not summon emergency assistance as Eric began to hang himself, nor while Eric hung himself.
- 45. At approximately 2:23 a.m., Defendant Officer Miller observed Eric's lifeless body hanging in his cell door.
- 46. Defendant Officer Miller did not rush to his aid. Instead, she casually walked into B-Block and asked Eric if he was "faking."
  - 47. Eric was pronounced dead shortly thereafter.

48. A subsequent autopsy confirmed that Eric died as a result of ligature hanging, the manner of death being suicide.

## COUNT I – 42 U.S.C. § 1983

# 14th Amendment - Deliberate Indifference / Failure to Provide Medical Care

# (All Individually Named Defendants)

- 49. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges by reference paragraphs 1-48 as if fully set forth herein.
- 50. In the manner more fully described above, Defendants each had subjective knowledge of Eric's substantial and serious risk of suicide, and intentionally disregarded that risk.
- 51. In the manner more fully described above, Defendants each had subjective knowledge of Eric's serious medical condition and his need for proper medical care and treatment, and intentionally disregarded that risk.
- 52. The misconduct described in this Count was objectively unreasonable and was undertaken with malice, willfulness, and deliberate indifference to Eric's constitutional rights.
  - 53. The misconduct described in this Count shocks the conscience.
- 54. As a direct and proximate result of the above-described wrongful infringement of Eric's rights, Eric suffered damages, including but not limited to physical pain and suffering, emotional distress, mental anguish, and death.

## COUNT II - 42 U.S.C. § 1983

#### 14th Amendment - Monell Claim

# (All Officially Named Defendants and Wood County)

- 55. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges by reference paragraphs 1-54 as if fully set forth herein.
- 56. At all relevant times Defendant Sheriff Reichert, Defendant Captain Ashbeck, Defendant Lieutenant Malooly, and Defendant Lieutenant Knapp were policymakers for the Wood County Jail, and as such were responsible for establishing and ensuring that protocols and procedures are followed to ensure the welfare and safety of pretrial detainees, like Eric.
- 57. At all relevant times, and for a significant period of time prior thereto, there were in place at the Wood County Jail the following unconstitutional customs, policies, procedures, and/or practices so pervasive as to be *de facto* policies:
  - Failing to employ qualified mental health professionals to screen and identify pretrial detainees with an elevated risk of suicide;
  - Failing to adequately train employees conducting medical intake screening to identify pretrial detainees with an elevated risk of suicide;
  - c. Failing to conduct adequate medical intake screening to identify pretrial detainees with an elevated risk of suicide;
  - d. Failing to develop lines of communication between those conducting medical intake screening and correctional officer staff so that

- pretrial detainees at an elevated risk of suicide can be properly monitored;
- e. Failing to provide pretrial detainees with a history of mental illness and/or substance abuse access to proper medical care and treatment;
- f. Failing to adequately train correctional officers to identify inmates at elevated risk for suicide;
- g. Failing to adequately train correctional officers in suicide prevention;
- h. Allowing pretrial detainees to hang sheets from their cell bars in contravention of official Wood County Jail policy; and,
- i. Failing to adequately monitor pretrial detainees with an elevated risk of suicide.
- 58. The foregoing wrongful customs, policies, procedures, and/or practices in effect at Wood County Jail as set forth herein were the moving force behind the deprivation of the rights, privileges, and immunities secured to Eric by the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the United States Constitution.
- 59. As a direct and proximate result of the above-described wrongful infringement of Eric's rights, Eric suffered damages, including but not limited to physical pain and suffering, emotional distress, mental anguish, and death.

#### COUNT III - STATE LAW

# Negligence

# (All Individually Named Defendants)

- 60. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges by reference paragraphs 1-59 as if fully set forth herein.
- 61. In the manner more fully described above, Defendants owed Eric a duty of care to ensure that he had access to timely medical care and treatment and to provide for his safety as a pretrial detainee.
- 62. In the manner more fully described above, Defendants breached the duty owed to Eric by one or more of the following acts or omissions:
  - a. Failing to recognize him as having an elevated risk of suicide;
  - Failing to provide him with access to timely medical care and treatment;
  - c. Failing to properly monitor him;
  - d. Allowing him to hang a sheet from his cell bars whereby he could hang himself; and,
  - e. Failing to timely intervene during his suicide.
- 63. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforesaid carless and negligent acts and/or omissions, Eric suffered damages, including but not limited to physical pain and suffering, emotional distress, mental anguish, and death.

#### COUNT IV - STATE LAW

# Wrongful Death

## (All Individually Named Defendants)

- 64. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges by reference paragraphs 1-63 as if fully set forth herein.
- 65. As a further direct and proximate result of the above-described wrongful acts, Plaintiff suffered damages, including but not limited to funeral and burial expenses and loss of society and companionship.
- 66. Defendants are liable for Plaintiff's damages pursuant to Wis. Stat. § 895.04.

#### COUNT V – STATE LAW

#### Indemnification

#### (Wood County)

- 67. Plaintiff restates and re-alleges by reference paragraphs 1-66 as if fully set forth herein.
- 68. Pursuant to Wis. Stat. 895.46(1), Defendant Wood County is empowered and directed to pay any judgment for compensatory damages, and any associated attorneys' fees and costs, for which the Wood County Sheriff and/or its employees, acting within the scope of his or her employment, are found liable.
- 69. In the manner more fully described above, the misconduct by the individually and officially-named Defendants was committed within the course and scope of their employment.

70. In the event that a judgment for compensatory damages is entered against any of the Defendants, Defendant Wood County must pay the judgment as well as the associated attorneys' fees and costs.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff requests that this Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendants awarding compensatory damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and such other and further relief this Court deems just and appropriate.

#### JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury pursuant to Federal Rule of civil Procedure 38(b) on all issues so triable.

/s/ Tricia J. Bushnell
Tricia J. Busnell #1080889
605 W. 47th Street, #222
Kansas City, MO 64112
(816) 221.3287
tbushnell@themip.org

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kathleen T. Zellner
Kathleen T. Zellner\*
Kathleen T. Zellner & Associates, P.C.
1901 Butterfield Road, Suite 650
Downers Grove, IL 60515
(630) 955.1212
kathleen.zellner@gmail.com
\*Motion to appear pro hac vice pending

8A. 4/28/16 SXR 0A Lambert

RESOLUTION # 23 -2016 2 3 4 5 6 7 TO: The Honorable Chairperson and Members of the Oconto County Board of Supervisors RE: **RESOLUTION OPPOSING AB 90 / SB 82** 8 9 WHEREAS, the Law Enforcement and Judiciary Committee (LE/J) has reviewed AB 90 AND SB 82, 10 each of which address the criminal code of procedure; and 11 12 WHEREAS, the LE/J has learned that the Attorney General has expressed concern regarding the expedited discovery provisions that remain in the bills; regarding the lack of adoption of technology 13 innovations such as electronic signatures, electronic filing and electronic discovery; and regarding the 14 15 changes to the subpoena process that expand the ability to obtain private documents from crime victims and third parties which could include victim service agencies; and 16 17 18 WHEREAS, the expansion of the subpoena process could subject victims to uncontrolled access into 19 their private records, such as treatment and health care records, as the burden would be upon the victim or 20 other third party to take action to protect their privacy; and 21 22 WHEREAS, the Oconto County District Attorney has reviewed this legislation and has expressed 23 additional concerns regarding the content of AB 90 / SB 82; 24 25 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Oconto County Board of Supervisors that Oconto 26 County opposes the passage of AB 90 and SB 82, as drafted; 27 28 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Oconto County Board of Supervisors that the Oconto County Clerk be directed to forward a copy of this resolution to all Wisconsin Counties and to the Wisconsin 29 Legislature and Governor. 30 31 Submitted this 2412 32 33 34 35 By: LAW ENFORCEMENT AND JUDICIARY COMMITTEE 36 37 Gerald Beekman - Chairperson Dennis Kroll 38 Paul Bednarik Lowell (Buzz) Kamke 39 Melissa Wellens 40 41 Reviewed by Corporation Counsel 42 03.09.2016 VOTE: 29 ayes 0 nays 2 absent 43 44 initials of

STATE OF WISCONSIN I, Kim Pytleski do hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of the original now on file in the office of the County Clerk and that it was adopted by the Ocento County Board of Supervisors on this date.

45

Corporation Counsel

LaFontaine, D

2 Rozar, D

3 Feirer, M

4 Wagner, E 5 Hendler, P 6 Breu, A

7 Ashbeck, R

8 Miner, T

9 Winch, W

10 | Henkel, H Curry, K

13 Hokamp, M Polach, D

Pliml, L

Zurfluh, J Hamilton, B

Leichtnam, B

Machon, D

Clendenning, B

11

12

14

15

17



**RESOLUTION#** 

June 21, 2016 DATE

June 21, 2016 Effective Date

Highway Infrastructure and Recreation and Judicial and Legislative

Introduced by Page 1 of 2

NO YES

Motion: Adopted: 1<sup>st</sup> Lost: Tabled: Absent: No: Number of votes required: X Majority Two-thirds Reviewed by: PAK , Corp Counsel Reviewed by: . Finance Dir.

INTENT & SYNOPSIS: To advise the State Legislators to create and agree upon a sustainable solution for funding Wisconsin's transportation system.

FISCAL NOTE: None.

Committees

WHEREAS, local government in Wisconsin is responsible for about 90% of the road miles in the state; and

WHEREAS, Wisconsin's diverse economy is dependent upon county and town roads as well as city and village streets and transit systems across the state; and

WHEREAS, according to "Filling Potholes: A New Look at Funding Local Transportation in Wisconsin," commissioned by the Local Government Institute of Wisconsin (LGI) the condition of Wisconsin's highways is now in the bottom third of the country; and

WHEREAS, state funding for local roads in Wisconsin has failed to keep up with costs over the past several decades which has adversely affected local transportation finances. According to "Filling Potholes," municipal transportation spending has declined from \$275 per capita in 2000 to \$227 in 2012; and

WHEREAS, levy limits do not allow local government to make up for the deterioration of state funding; and

WHEREAS. Wisconsin's over-reliance on borrowing eats away at the state's segregated funding sources – the state gas tax and vehicle registration fees - which increasingly pay debt service rather than fund transportation needs; and

WHEREAS, safety is a primary concern and responsibility of local governments across Wisconsin. Unfortunately, according to TRIP, a national non-profit transportation research group, Wisconsin had 347 noninterstate, rural road fatalities in 2013; and

WHEREAS, the county board recognizes that our state highway and interstate system is the backbone of our surface transportation system and plays a vital role in the economy of Wisconsin. Both local and state roads need to be properly maintained in order for our economy to grow; and

WHEREAS, from a competitive standpoint Wisconsin motorists pay significantly less than any of our neighbors when you combine the annual cost of the state gas tax and vehicle registration fees; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Finance and Policy Commission, appointed by the Governor and Legislature clearly found that if Wisconsin does not adjust its user fees, the condition of both our state and local roads will deteriorate significantly over the next decade.

CAC

WOOD COUNTY	ITEM#
	DATE June 21, 2016
RESOLUTION	Effective Date: June 21, 2016
High	way Infrastructure and Recreation and Judicial and Legislative
Introduced by Com	mittees
Page 2 of 2	Committee

NOW, THEREFORE, THE WOOD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HEREBY RESOLVES to urge the Governor and Legislature to Just Fix It and agree upon a sustainable solution: one that includes a responsible level of bonding and adjusts our user fees to adequately and sustainably fund Wisconsin's transportation system.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Wood County Board of Supervisors directs the County Clerk to send a copy of this resolution to our State Legislators and to Governor Scott Walker.

Contact necessary Emergency Personnel if needed (911) before implementing the procedures below.

In case of any of the emergencies listed below the primary contact should be called as soon as possible. If the primary contact is not available please attempt to contact the backup personnel. Once contact is made with the appropriate person they will determine who else should be contacted. In all cases the County Board Chairperson or Vice-Chairperson should be contacted by the primary contact as soon as possible in event of any incident below or as a back up contact if necessary. Contact numbers are listed below. The phone numbers listed below are not for public distribution.

Dispatch -Please notify the contacts below if the emergency is at a Wood County facility or department. If the primary or back up contacts cannot be reached please notify the County Chair, Co-Chair or Sheriff.

Emergency	Primary Contact	Back up contacts
	Terry Stelzer	Warren Kraft
Serious Work Related Injury or	Risk Manager	HR Director
Fatality (includes Jail suicides)	Office 715-421-8412	Office 715-421-8805
	Cell 715-213-4375	Cell 920-420-2019
3 or more hospitalized or a fatality	Home 715-712-1090	Home 920-231-7468
must be reported to the State within	If no answer – leave a message.	If no answer – leave a message.
8 hours by the Risk Manager.	Appropriate Dept. Head.	Appropriate Dept. Head.
	Terry Stelzer	Warren Kraft
Bomb Threat	Risk Manager	HR Director
	Office 715-421-8412	Office 715-421-8805
Dispatch should notify the Sheriff's	Cell 715-213-4375	Cell 920-420-2019
Department then the primary	Home 715-712-1090	Home 920-231-7468
contact	If no answer – leave a message.	If no answer – leave a message.
	Appropriate Dept. Head.	Appropriate Dept. Head.
	Terry Stelzer	Warren Kraft
Facility Fire	Risk Manager	HR Director
27.10.100	Office 715-421-8412	Office 715-421-8805
Notify if fire department or	Cell 715-213-4375	Cell 920-420-2019
ambulance responds to a Wood	Home 715-712-1090	Home 920-231-7468
County facility.	If no answer – leave a message.	If no answer – leave a message.
	Appropriate Dept. Head.	Appropriate Dept. Head.
C YX	Warren Kraft	Terry Stelzer
Severe Weather or Warning	HR Director	Risk Manager
This	Office 715-421-8805	Office 715-421-8412
This notice would initially come to	Cell 920-420-2019	Cell 715-213-4375
Emergency Management via	Home 920-231-7468	Home 715-712-1090
Dispatch.	If no answer – leave a message.	If no answer – leave a message.
	Appropriate Dept. Head.	County Board Chairperson.
7014 . 6	Warren Kraft	Terry Stelzer
Threat of violence	HR Director	Risk Manager
W7144-1-1 1 1 1 0	Office 715-421-8805	Office 715-421-8412
Would not include ambulance calls	Cell 920-420-2019	Cell 715-213-4375
for routine patient upsets at Norwood	Home 920-231-7468	Home 715-712-1090
Health Center.	If no answer – leave a message.	If no answer – leave a message.
	Appropriate Dept. Head.	Appropriate Dept. Head.

Lance Pliml, Wood County Board Chairperson: Home 715-421-4001, Cell 715-213-4002 Trent Miner, Wood County Board Vice-Chairperson: Home 715-884-2337, Work 715-387-3768 Thomas Reichert, Wood County Sheriff. Home 715-423-2107, Work 715-421-8715



# OVERSIGHT ASSIGNMENTS May 2016

# Departments under Oversight by this Committee: Clerk of the Courts, District Attorney, Register of Deeds, Family Court Commissioner, Corporation Counsel, Wood County Circuit Courts, Victim Witness and Child Support

Per Wood County Wood County Supervisor Handbook

**Assignments by Department:** 

Clerk of the Courts

Curry

**District Attorney incl. Victim Witness** 

LaFontaine

**Register of Deeds** 

Leichtnam

**Corporate Counsel** 

Staff to Committee as a whole

Wood County Circuit Courts/incl. Family Court Commissioner

Wagner

**Child Support** 

Clendenning

#### **Assignments by Supervisor**

Curry

Clerk of the Courts

Leichtnam

Register of Deeds

Clendenning

Child Support

LaFontaine

DA

Victim Witness

Wagner

**Circuit Courts** 

Note: Family Court Commission reports to Chief Judge (Branch I); Victim Witness reports to District Attorney. Combined for sake of clarity.